

GENOCIDE AND GERMANY - III

Mehmet Oğuzhan TULUN

Analyst

Analysis No : 2021 / 21

15.06.2021

On 28 May 2021, the German government delivered a statement concerning the negotiation process[1] between the Namibian and German governments over the 1904-1908 Herero and Nama genocide dispute.[2] In the statement, the German Minister of Foreign Affairs Heiko Maas expressed the following:

> I am pleased and indeed grateful that we have agreed with Namibia on how to deal with the darkest chapter of our shared history. After more than five years, Ruprecht Polenz and his Namibian counterpart Zed Ngavirue were able to conclude the negotiations which they were conducting on behalf of our two Governments and based on the guidance of our two Parliaments.

Claiming that representatives of Namibias Herero and Nama tribes were closely involved in the negotiation process, Maas indicated that We [Germany] will now officially call these events what they are from todays perspective: a genocide. Maas added the following:

> As a gesture of recognition of the immeasurable suffering inflicted on the victims, we want to support Namibia and the victims descendants with a substantial programme to the tune of 1.1 billion euro for reconstruction and development. The communities affected by the genocide will play a key role in shaping and implementing this programme. Legal claims for compensation cannot be derived from it.

The Namibian governments initial reaction came from the spokesperson of the Namibian President Hage Geingob: The acceptance on the part of Germany that a genocide was committed is the first step in the right direction.[3] The agreement (referred to in some news articles as the joint declaration) reached between the Namibian and German governments needs to ratified by the parliaments of both countries, after which the German President Frank-Walter Steinmeier is expected to deliver a speech at the

Namibian parliament and make an apology on behalf of Germany.

Since the initial reporting on the German governments 28 May statement, the international press seems to have mostly moved on from this subject. However, the statement continues to generate controversy in Namibia as the Namibian public analyzes its content and interprets its meaning.

One issue with the statement is the amount Germany is proposing to pay to Namibia and how this money is to be allocated within the country. On this, the German government gave the following information:

> The projects financed by Germany focus, at the request of the Namibian side, on the fields of land reform, including the purchase and development of land, agriculture, rural infrastructure and water supplies, as well as vocational education, as central priorities in the partly marginalised areas where the Nama and Herero have settled. These projects are to be implemented in addition to bilateral development cooperation which is also to be continued.[4]

The Namibian press reported that the proposed money will be spread out over a period of 30 years and allocated in the following manner: - 50 million euro for reconciliation; - 130 million euro for renewable energy; - 150 million euro for vocational training; - 100 million euro for rural roads; - 130 million euro for rural water supply; - 540 million euro for land acquisition and training.[5]

However, serious questions have been raised in the Namibian public about how the 1.1 billion Euro figure was reached and who determined this figure. The German government has been accused of determining this figure by itself with little input either from the Namibian government or from the Herero and Nama tribes.[6] As such, Germany is being accused of acting like a perpetrator that determines the severity of the crimes punishment and attempting force the victim to consent to an arrangement that fits the perpetrators interests.[7]

Although the Namibian government reportedly had no specific compensation figure in mind for the negotiation process, compensation demands voiced in the Namibian public opinion reached an upwards of 25 billion Euros. While this was no doubt a classic negotiation tactic of putting forth a maximum figure and settling for something in the middle, there is a huge difference between the initial 25 billion Euros and the 1.1 billion Euros proposed by Germany. Even the Namibian Prime Minister Saara Kuugongelwa-Amadhila has expressed dissatisfaction with the figure proposed by Germany.[8] In this respect, it should be noted that: German colonisers killed tens of thousands of Ovaherero and Nama people in Namibia between 1904 and 1908. This amounted to some 80% of the Ovaherero and over 40% of the Nama. Their land and livestock were also confiscated.[9] Considering the severity of Germanys past actions in Namibia and that the proposed payment of the 1.1 billion Euros will be spread out over a period of 30 years (meaning 36 million Euros a year - pocket change for a wealthy country like Germany), Germanys offer to Namibia has been considered insultingly low by Namibian politicians, tribal representatives, and ordinary citizens alike.[10]

Another issue is the nature of the proposed 1.1 billion Euro by the German government, as it considers this to be a form of voluntary development aid that cannot be classified as reparations that sets precedents for other claims. Having adopted a defensive legal tactic, the German government argues that the 1948 Genocide Convention cannot be applied retroactively and that its use of the term genocide for the 1904-1908 systemic extermination campaign against the Herero and Nama people is based on moral and political responsibility. For this reason, the German government argues that it is not legally obligated to pay reparations. As indicated in the previous article of this series, this legal tactic points to Germanys double standards when considering its approach to Turkey regarding the Turkish-Armenian controversy over the 1915 Events. On this point, it will be useful to share the following two questions we had asked in our previous article:

> In March 2016, a draft resolution was presented to the German Federal Assembly [Bundestag] for the recognition of the 1904-1908 Events as the Namibian Genocide, but this draft was rejected by the Assembly. However, in June 2016, the German Federal Assembly issued a resolution describing the 1915 Events as a genocide. How is it that the German Federal Assembly enthusiastically issues a resolution on genocide regarding the 1915 Events (which cannot be characterized as a genocide either in legal or definitional terms), while refusing to issue a resolution on genocide for the 1904-1908 Events (which may be characterized as genocide in definitional terms)? Can it be said that this contradictory attitude reflects any goodwill or search for justice?
>
> How is it that while Germany -as a country with its politicians, academics, and institutions- is insistent on calling the 1915 Events a genocide and accordingly disregarding historical facts and international law, points to the law to defend itself when the 1904-1908 Events are in question? Why is Germany acting as if it recalls the principals of law only when its own interests are in question?[11]

Germanys insistence on providing development aid in place of reparations has caused considerable reactions in Namibia. When the Namibian government embarked on its negotiation process with the German government, it was introduced as resting on three pillars: 1) Germany recognizing that what was perpetrated against the Nama and Herero people would be considered a genocide today, 2) Germany apologizing for having carried out this genocide, 3) Germany paying reparations to the victimized Nama and Herero people. Critics of the negotiation process between the Namibian and German governments argue that the third pillar, reparations, has been cast aside in the name of receiving development aid.

This, it has been argued, will serve to perpetuate the unequal relationship between the perpetrator and the victim, the previously colonizing European country (Germany) and the previously colonized African country (Namibia):

> In the colonial era, Africans were regarded as barbarians who lacked the abilities to bring about economic and technological change, justifying the intervention of the imperial powers.

> This view defined how the West perceived and presented Africa in the past, and the echoes of that view may be found today.
>
> Development aid can still be presented in a patronising way, maintaining an unequal relationship.
>
> If it is being seen as an alternative to reparations, with fewer legal ramifications, it does not dismantle the relationship that allowed the genocide to happen in the first place.[12]

For this reason, the Namibian government has been accused of incompetence and of being a sell-out that has bowed to foreign pressure. Meanwhile, the German government has been accused of lacking sincerity and remorse[13], of attempting to use development aid as a form of hush money and genocide recognition as a publicity stunt to counter French President Emmanuel Macron's [recent] apology to Rwanda for [Frances] role in that country's genocide in 1994.[14]

Critics have also argued that while the Namibian government had clearly received a mandate from the Namibian parliament to go ahead with the genocide negotiation process with the German government, the German government had not received a similar mandate from the German parliament. The following comment, indicating that Germanys questionable conduct against Turkey is being closely followed in Namibia, has been made highlighting Namibias and Germanys contrasting stances on the negotiation process:

> [☀ there is little evidence [in the draft declaration to be signed between the Namibian and German governments] of any direct commitment from Germany to the affected communities as it would have been the case between a victim and a perpetrator who is showing contrition.
>
> While we are made to believe that the government of the Federal Republic of Germany has accepted its historical and moral responsibility towards Namibia, it actually stops short of recognising the genocide committed against our forebears with reference to Genocide [and] only refers to it in passing in the document but not as a matter of fact, the [Namibian tribal chiefs critical of the negotiation process] said.
>
> They further noted that this adds insult to injury as Germanys parliament, during 2016, overwhelmingly voted that the mass killing of Armenians during 1915 by the Ottoman Turks was Genocide.
>
> Hence, it is our opinion that the Government of the Federal Republic of Germany was not mandated to negotiate on Genocide, in the absence of a resolution from the Bundestag, whereas the Namibian Government and representatives of the affected communities sole mandate was to negotiate on Genocide and Reparations, the chiefs stressed.[15]

Perhaps in response to such sentiments, the German government seems to have resorted to marginalizing the relevant Namibian tribes by arguing that German government could

not negotiate directly with the affected communities because they are too divided[16] and that some tribal representatives failed to play a constructive role in the genocide negotiation process. The Namibian government has politely responded to this by indicating that German officials are entitled to their opinions and that Namibia has its own processes and institutions to deal with the declaration.

Meanwhile, the Namibian government has defended itself by arguing the German government was initially not even willing to characterize the 1904-1908 Events as genocide, and that it succeeded in persuading the German government to make such an emotionally difficult admission.[17] The Namibian government has also argued that the draft declaration to be signed between the Namibian and German governments will form a solid framework for future Namibia-Germany relations[18] and that the proposed 1.1 billion Euro development aid will enable Namibia to receive future funding from Germany.[19] Despite such arguments, the Namibian government is currently facing significant pressure from opposition politicians and public figures to halt and reframe the genocide negotiation process with the German government that will better serve the interests of the Namibian people in general and the communities that were victimized by Germanys colonial actions in specific.[20]

Considering all this, we can say that Namibias pride as a country is on the line: A developing country with a track record of social cohesion and peaceful politics in the unfortunately conflict-prone African continent is faced with the economic and political giant Germany that is one of the leading countries of the European Union. No country or its people would want to be perceived as begging for money and this ordeal between Namibia and Germany is in fact about protecting ones dignity and demanding a past wrong being rectified.[21] There is an undeniable power imbalance between the two sides; while Namibia has the moral high ground, Germany has the capacity to suppress Namibias moral superiority with its economic and political power. The fact that German society is reportedly disinterested in Germanys colonial past in general and what specifically happened in Namibia in 1904-1908[22] (however, there are signs that this is slowly beginning to change[23]) makes it easier for Germany to dodge uncomfortable questions about its colonial legacy and current policies.

Now that even Germany is characterizing the systemic extermination campaign between 1904-1908 perpetrated against the Nama and the Herero people as a genocide, we would like to share some questions we had asked in a previous article of ours:[24]

> Supposedly well versed on the subject of genocide, why did so many genocide scholars overlook the extermination policy of 1904-1908 against the Herero and the Nama, and label the 1915 events as being the first genocide of the 20th century? [this is frequently done by some political and religious figures as well, such as Pope Francis of the Roman Catholic Church]
>
> Why did so many genocide scholars portray the 1915 events as being the blueprint of the Holocaust, when it seems that the extermination policy against the Herero and the Nama were in fact the blueprint for the Holocaust? How could Germany take the Ottoman Empire as an example for carrying out genocide, when it already had a

precedent for such an action based on its own conducts in its colonial possessions?

And lastly, the cliché and falsified Adolf Hitler quote Who, after all, speaks today of the annihilation of the Armenians? allegedly uttered during his 1939 Obersalzberg speech becomes even more suspect in line with what has been discussed above. If Hitler were to refer to any past example, would it not have been the attempted annihilation of the Nama and the Herero people directly perpetrated by Germany? Furthermore, why is an alleged remark from an infamous politician likely suffering from antisocial personality disorder who oversaw the murder of millions of people used as an evidence for the genocide claims concerning the 1915 Events?

[1] For further information, please see: Mehmet Oğuzhan Tulun, Genocide And Germany, *Center for Eurasian Studies (AVİM)*, Analysis No: 2017/3, January 10, 2017, https://avim.org.tr/en/Analiz/GENOCIDE-AND-GERMANY ; Mehmet Oğuzhan Tulun, Genocide And Germany - II, *Center for Eurasian Studies (AVİM)*, Analysis No: 2017/31, October 18, 2017, https://avim.org.tr/en/Analiz/GENOCIDE-AND-GERMANY-II

[2] Foreign Minister Maas on the conclusion of negotiations with Namibia, *Federal Foreign Office of Germany*, press release, May 28, 2021, https://www.auswaertiges-amt.de/en/newsroom/news/-/2463598

[3] Germany officially recognizes colonial-era Namibia genocide, *Deutsche Welle*, May 28, 2021, https://www.dw.com/en/germany-officially-recognizes-colonial-era-namibia-genocide/a-57671070

[4] Foreign Minister Maas on the conclusion of negotiations with Namibia.

[5] Vice President Nangolo Mbumba has announced Germany has offered Namibia 1.1 billion euro (N$18 billion) to be paid over 30 years..., *News Era Live*, Twitter post, June 4, 2021, https://mobile.twitter.com/NewEraNewspaper/status/1400778255561543684

[6] Zorena Jantze, Ovaherero chiefs call for genocide reparation payment halt, *Informante.web.na*, June 11, 2021, https://informante.web.na/?p=305056

[7] Charmaine Ngatjiheue, Germany faces the sins of their forefathers, *The Namibian*, June 3, 2021, https://www.namibian.com.na/102177/read/Germany-faces-the-sins-of-their-forefathers

[8] Opposition reject genocide pact, *News Era Live*, June 9, 2021, https://neweralive.na/posts/opposition-reject-genocide-pact

[9] Emsie Erastus, Viewpoint: Why Germany's Namibia genocide apology is not enough, *BBC*, June 1, 2021, https://www.bbc.com/news/world-africa-57306144

[10] Ngatjiheue, Germany faces the sins of their forefathers ; Shelleygan Petersen, Charmaine Ngatjiheue, and Puyeipawa Nakashole, Chiefs want N$8 trillion, *The Namibian*, May 31, 2021, https://www.namibian.com.na/102078/read/Chiefs-want-N$8-trillion

[11] Tulun, Genocide And Germany - II.

[12] Erastus, Viewpoint: Why Germany's Namibia genocide apology is not enough. The following article also refers to a similar argument: Petersen et al., Chiefs want N$8 trillion.

[13] Charmaine Ngatjiheue and Shelleygan Petersen, Sell-outs and Judases ☀ Govt accused of betraying genocide victims, *The Namibian*, June 9, 2021, https://www.namibian.com.na/102364/read/Sell-outs-and-Judases-%E2%80%A6-Govt-accused-of-betraying-genocide-victims ; Kuzeeko Tjitemisa, Reparations: Govt, communities at odds... exclusion detrimental, *New Era Live*, May 31, 2021, https://neweralive.na/posts/reparations-govt-communities-at-odds

[14] Erastus, Viewpoint: Why Germany's Namibia genocide apology is not enough.

[15] Jantze, Ovaherero chiefs call for genocide reparation payment halt.

[16] Ngatjiheue and Petersen, Sell-outs and Judases ...

[17] Shelleygan Petersen, Ovaherero/OvaMbanderu and Nama Council chiefs accept genocide offer, The Namibian, June 3, 2021, https://www.namibian.com.na/102196/read/OvahereroOvaMbanderu-and-Nama-Council-chiefs-accept-genocide-offer ; Ngatjiheue and Petersen, Sell-outs and Judases ...

[18] Ngatjiheue, Germany faces the sins of their forefathers.

[19] Ngatjiheue and Petersen, Sell-outs and Judases ...

[20] Opposition reject genocide pact, News Era Live ; Ngatjiheue, Germany faces the sins of their forefathers ; Petersen et al., Chiefs want N$8 trillion ; Tjitemisa, Reparations: Govt, communities at odds... ; Charmaine Ngatjiheue and Shelleygan Petersen, KK labels Geingob an idiot, coward, *The Namibian*, June 11, 2021, https://www.namibian.com.na/102444/read/KK-labels-Geingob-an-idiot-coward ; In an open letter to President Hage Geingob, Nudo leader and deputy health minister Esther Muinjangue has called on government to halt genocide negotiations with Germany ☀ *Namibian Sun*

, Twitter post, May 31, 2021, https://mobile.twitter.com/namibiansun/status/1399377993017987072

[21] Kuzeeko Tjitemisa, Damara, San welcome inclusion in genocide pact, *New Era Live*, June 4, 2021, https://neweralive.na/posts/damara-san-welcome-inclusion-in-genocide-pact

[22] Erastus, Viewpoint: Why Germany's Namibia genocide apology is not enough.

[23] Peter Hille, How German schools miss out country's colonial history, *Deutsche Welle*, October 10, 2020, https://www.dw.com/en/how-german-schools-miss-out-countrys-colonial-history/a-55230081

[24] Tulun, Genocide And Germany.

About the Author :

Mehmet Oğuzhan Tulun is an AVİM Analyst. His research focuses on Eastern Europe, the Caucasus, Asia, Turkish-Armenian and Turkey-Armenia relations, international crimes and history, and the interplay between religion and politics.

To cite this article: TULUN, Mehmet Oğuzhan. 2026. "GENOCIDE AND GERMANY - III." Center For Eurasian Studies (AVİM), Analysis No.2021 / 21. June 15. Accessed November 08, 2026. https://www.avim.org.tr/en/Analiz/GENOCIDE-AND-GERMANY-III

Süleyman Nazif Sok. No: 12/B Daire 3-4 06550 Çankaya-ANKARA / TÜRKİYE
Tel: +90 (312) 438 50 23-24 • **Fax**: +90 (312) 438 50 26
@avimorgtr
https://www.facebook.com/avrasyaincelemelerimerkezi

E-Mail: info@avim.org.tr
http://avim.org.tr

© 2009-2025 Center for Eurasian Studies (AVİM) All Rights Reserved