



KYLE ORTON ON 'ARMENIANS AND ACADEMIA'

AVİM

Analysis No : 2026 / 15

27.04.2026

As a sequel to his article on the Armenian revolutionary movement^[1], journalist and writer Kyle Orton published a new piece, Armenians and Academia^[2], noting that politics has loomed large over this subject from the beginning as there was significant Entente propaganda during the First World War and that propaganda had an eye on drawing the United States into the war.

In the vengeful post-war atmosphere, attempts to try and clarify whether the leaders of the Committee of Union and Progress (CUP) had roles in organizing the 1915 Events also failed to produce a satisfactory result since these attempts were made by the CUP's political opponents who were anxious to pin any blame on the CUP:

The attempt to prosecute accused CUP war criminals in 1919-20 was by the virulently anti-CUP post-war Turkish government that operated under Allied occupation and had political incentives to produce legal findings that vindicated Allied wartime propaganda, an objective made easier by the procedures in no way resembling fair trials.

Due to the lack of sophisticated research, the British Blue Book, a war-time propaganda publication, and the unreliable memoirs of American Ambassador Henry Morgenthau continued to serve as main references for the Western world. Orton also draws attention to another important phenomenon, namely the fact that after the First World War,

Armenian revolutionaries and activists [were] fabricating luridly incriminating documentary testimony to influence Western opinion and policy towards Turkey [Türkiye], including the infamous Naim-Andonian Documents that contain forged telegrams purportedly from Ottoman Interior Minister Talat Pasha.

A revived interest in the subject began after the 1940s, though this was more of a political than an academic interest since Soviet designs against Turkey from the late 1940s into

the 1950s prompted further interest in the Armenian Question. After the Second World War, the Soviets made territorial claims in eastern Turkey by proclaiming these were Armenian historical lands that should be added to Soviet Armenia. Türkiye's entry into the North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) in 1952 further motivated the Soviets to intensify their political warfare, leading them to capitalize on the nascent Armenian genocide-recognition campaign as a mechanism to instrumentalise the Armenian diaspora.

The following decades witnessed the emergence of radical Armenian nationalist terrorist groups, namely the Justice Commandos of the Armenian Genocide (JCAG) and the Armenian Secret Army for the Liberation of Armenia (ASALA). The savage terrorist attacks launched by these virulent groups brought the Armenian Question back into the international discussion. The recognition campaign also provided a glue for the Armenian Diaspora, emerging as a vehicle to protect the Armenian communities against assimilation:

The one issue that united everyone [] church, religious dissidents, the Dashnaks, the anti-Dashnak nationalists, the Communists who believed Soviet Armenia was the sole legitimate vehicle of national aspirations [] advocating for recognition of the genocide.

Two key figures, trying to establish a Western academic framework for the genocide allegations were Richard G. Hovannisian and Vahakn Dadrian, both of whom are Armenian-Americans. Before their involvement, much of the Armenian literature concerning the 1915 Events consisted either of Soviet historiography authored by individuals such as E.K. Sarkissian and R.G. Sahakian, or was influenced by these writings and their methodologies. Orton highlights their roles as follows: What Hovannisian and Dadrian wanted to do was create an academic corpus making the genocide case in a format respectable by Western standards.

By this time, there was as yet no established discipline of Ottoman studies outside Türkiye, and in Türkiye itself the issue did not receive much attention. Thus, the academic neglect of prior decades served Dadrian and Hovannisian well and enabled them to shape narratives and perceptions as they wished. According to Orton, the international developments also favored the efforts of the Armenian nationalists:

The Armenian genocide-recognition movement also benefited from being part of the zeitgeist. It was in the 1980s that the trend of national and ethnic groups campaigning to have their past or present suffering classified as genocide really took off, and these political campaigns fed into an increasing academic fashion for regarding the [1948 Convention on the Prevention and Punishment of the Crime of Genocide] definition of genocide as too narrow and restrictive. The push came from other angles, too, notably those who wanted to criminalise war itself as genocide, a push that has not been abandoned. Genocide coming to be used very loosely in everyday language cannot be blamed on popular misunderstanding, since by now there are academic arguments for describing as genocide events where there is no bloodshed at all.

Decades of campaigning and political and academic lobbying paid off and by the early

1990s, and the Armenian genocide-recognition movement had prevailed in sentiment in academia. Scholars who criticized or dissented the Armenian narratives were harassed and vilified. In 1994, for example, Armenian activists in France sued Bernard Lewis for negationism because he had expressed the view that what happened to the Armenians in 1915 and the Nazi Holocaust of the Jews were different. Surely, developments like these discouraged historians to challenge Armenian narratives.

Orton could have also mentioned the mentioned campaigns actually went far beyond harassment and legal cases. They also involved physical violence, assassination attempts, and targeted bombings as had happened to Stanford J. Shaw, a professor of Ottoman History at the University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA). Moreover, the campaign also involved below the belt tactics, as academics challenging Armenian narratives faced bullying and smearing campaigns that called for their sacking from their academic institutes and, more importantly, denied opportunities and forums to publish and share their research –a gross violation of academic freedom.

These unpleasant developments were also the part of the process by which the Armenian nationalists imposed their forced consensus on academia. Though not mentioning such unpleasant aspects of the recognition campaign and academic consensus, Orton still notes that it would also be naïve to take for granted that an academic consensus generated by so very human a process represents reality. Orton draws on several examples from natural sciences, noting that certain facts, initially considered established, were subsequently called into question, revised and corrected with further research.

If this is the situation in so hard a subject as medical Science, ones wariness [] nihilistic distrust, but scepticism [] be set higher in general for the findings of softer subjects like history, the humanities, and social sciences, and higher still for politicised fields therein.

In other words, the implications of these lessons should be clear; the right to further research should not be denied as science in general, and social sciences in specific are not immune to a false consensus driven by politics and self-interest.

**Picture: Ottoman soldiers escorting Armenians out of Harput in 1915 during the Armenian Relocation and Resettlement - Source: Kyle Ortons Substack page*

[1] AVİM, An Interesting Article on Armenian Revolutionary Groups, *Center for Eurasian Studies (AVİM)*, Analysis No: 2026/14, April 15, 2026, <https://avim.org.tr/en/Analiz/AN-INTERESTING-ARTICLE-ON-ARMENIAN-REVOLUTIONARY-GROUPS>

[2] Kyle Orton, Armenians and Academia, *It Can Always Get Worse* - Substack page by Kyle Orton, April 10, 2026, <https://www.kyleorton.com/p/armenians-and-academia>

About the Author :

To cite this article: AVİM, . 2026. "KYLE ORTON ON 'ARMENIANS AND ACADEMIA'." Center For Eurasian Studies (AVİM), Analysis No.2026 / 15. April 27. Accessed June 07, 2026. <https://www.avim.org.tr/en/Analiz/KYLE-ORTON-ON-ARMENIANS-AND-ACADEMIA>



Süleyman Nazif Sok. No: 12/B Daire 3-4 06550 Çankaya-ANKARA / TÜRKİYE

Tel: +90 (312) 438 50 23-24 • **Fax:** +90 (312) 438 50 26

 @avimorgtr

 <https://www.facebook.com/avrasyaincelemelerimerkezi>

E-Mail: info@avim.org.tr

<http://avim.org.tr>

© 2009-2025 Center for Eurasian Studies (AVİM) All Rights Reserved