AVİM Commentary • No: 2026 / 50 •

# GERMANY'S EURASIAN OPENING AND ITS STRATEGIC BLIND SPOTS

**Teoman Ertuğrul TULUN**

**Analyst**

## Introduction

Germanys recent effort to recalibrate its relations with Türkiye reflects a notable shift in strategic thinking. Berlin has begun to view Türkiye more explicitly as a security and defense partner, especially amid the resumed strategic dialogue, the evolving European security environment, and the search for a more resilient regional security architecture. Yet the significance of this development goes beyond bilateral rapprochement. It raises a broader question as to whether Germany is merely rediscovering a useful partner, or beginning to recognize the geopolitical realities of Eurasia as an interconnected strategic space shaped by regional agency, strategic corridors, and cooperative security.[1]

## The Limits of Euro-Atlantic Frame

Germanys strategic outlook has traditionally been shaped by a Euro-Atlantic framework in which European security is primarily assessed through NATO, the European Union, and transatlantic relations.

For this commentary, Eurocentric strategic thinking refers to an approach that treats European and transatlantic institutions as the principal reference points for defining regional security priorities, while assigning secondary analytical weight to the agency, threat perceptions, and locally developed cooperation mechanisms of neighboring Eurasian regions.

This approach remains important; however, it can also encourage a compartmentalized reading of neighbouring regions and insufficient attention to the distinct legal character of the Black Sea. The EU Strategic Compass and the EUs Black Sea initiatives address relevant questions of resilience, connectivity, maritime security, energy, and regional cooperation. Yet, any external framework for the basin must begin with the legal reality that the Montreux Convention of 1936 regulates naval access through the Turkish Straits and establishes a differentiated regime designed to safeguard the security of the Black Sea littoral states.

The issue is therefore not the exclusion of dialogue or cooperation with the European

Union and NATO. It is the need to ensure that such engagement neither dilutes the Conventions treaty-based constraints on non-littoral naval presence nor displaces the primary responsibility of the littoral states for regional equilibrium. A constructive Eurasian approach consequently rejects both bloc confrontation and externally imposed security templates. It calls instead for legally consistent cooperation that respects sovereignty, regional agency, freedom of commercial navigation, and the stabilizing function of the Montreux regime

**Eurasia as an Interconnected Strategic Space**

Germanys renewed attention to Türkiye is therefore a welcome, though belated, recognition of changing strategic realities. Germanys 2023 National Security Strategy is framed around Integrated Security and places central importance on resilience, European unity, NATO, supply chain security, and partnerships; however, its principal strategic reference points remain the Euro-Atlantic area and European institutional coordination.

The value of closer cooperation cannot be reduced to immediate functional concerns such as defense procurement, migration management, or alliance burden-sharing. These issues are significant, but they form only part of a wider picture in which regional security, economic resilience, energy routes, and transportation corridors are increasingly interlinked.[2]

The principal limitation of the German approach is its tendency to view the relationship chiefly in terms of Europes needs. Such an approach risks overlooking the fact that a pivotal regional actor does not derive its strategic significance solely from its proximity to Europe or contribution to NATO. Its importance also stems from its capacity to maintain diplomatic engagement across adjacent regions, to connect different security theatres, and to contribute to stability through an autonomous and balanced foreign-policy outlook.

A more accurate reading of the current environment requires abandoning rigid center-periphery assumptions. Eurasia is not a peripheral area awaiting European strategic direction; it is a complex space in which regional actors possess their own interests, historical experiences, and security priorities. Germanys policy will remain fragmented if it continues to approach this space selectively. A sustainable engagement requires acknowledging that Europe's security is increasingly inseparable from the stability, connectivity, and cooperative potential of the wider Eurasian environment.

**From Tactical Adjustment to Strategic Engagement**

Germanys current opening should therefore evolve beyond selective pragmatism. First, Berlin should use the renewed Strategic Dialogue Mechanism to establish a regular agenda for cross-regional security that links the Black Sea, the South Caucasus, the Eastern Mediterranean, energy security, and connectivity. Second, Germany should complement its Euro-Atlantic commitments with systematic consultation on regionally

owned and law-based stability arrangements. Third, it should encourage resilient transport, energy, and production networks across Eurasia without turning connectivity projects into exclusive geopolitical blocs.[3]

In this regard, a more balanced German approach would not entail distancing itself from its Euro-Atlantic commitments. On the contrary, it would require complementing these commitments with a less hierarchical understanding of regional order and with greater openness to cooperation with pivotal actors whose strategic value derives from geography, agency, and stabilizing capacity. Such an approach would allow Germany to move from tactical adjustment to genuine strategic adaptation in Eurasia.

**Conclusion**

Germanys recent reassessment of this strategic relationship should therefore be regarded as a significant, but still incomplete, adjustment. It signals a growing recognition that European security can no longer be understood in isolation from the wider Eurasian environment. Yet, it does not fully escape the conceptual limits of Eurocentric strategic thinking.[4]

If this opening is to achieve lasting value, Germany will need to move beyond functional pragmatism and adopt a broader understanding of regional order grounded in cooperative security, strategic respect, and the interconnected realities of Eurasia. Only then can its policy evolve from a late tactical correction into a more coherent and durable strategic vision.[5]

**Picture: Kondrad Adenauer*

[1] Dr. Markus Hildebrand, Strategic Bet: How Germany Is Rediscovering Turkey. Kondrad Adenaur Stiftung No: 2025/03. 13.05.2026, https://www.kas.de/en/country-reports/detail/-/content/strategic-bet-how-germany-is-rediscovering-turkey

[2] Teoman Ertuğrul Tulun. Reforming Moldova: Stability Through Constructive Eurasianism. AVİM, Commentary No: 2025/24. 24.10.2025, https://avim.org.tr/en/Analiz/REFORMING-MOLDOVA-STABILITY-THROUGH-CONSTRUCTIVE-EURASIANISM

[3] Teoman Ertuğrul Tulun. Eus New Central Asia Strategy And Notion Of Constructive Eurasianism. AVİM, Commentary No: 2019/41. 16.07.2019, https://avim.org.tr/en/Yorum/EU-S-NEW-CENTRAL-ASIA-STRATEGY-AND-NOTION-OF-CONSTRUCTIVE-EURASIANISM

[4] Teoman Ertuğrul Tulun. Constructive Eurasianism: Revisiting Definitions. AVİM, Commentary No: 2025/03. 08.01.2025, https://avim.org.tr/en/Yorum/CONSTRUCTIVE-EURASIANISM-REVISITING-DEFINITIONS

[5] Teoman Ertuğrul Tulun. 2025 Munich Security Conference And The Necessity Of Constructive Eurasianism. AVİM, Commentary No: 2025/02. 28.02.2025, https://avim.org.tr/en/Analiz/2025-MUNICH-SECURITY-CONFERENCE-AND-THE-NECESSITY-OF-CONSTRUCTIVE-EURASIANISM

About the Author :

Teoman Ertuğrul Tulun is an analyst at Ankara-based think-tank Center for Eurasian Studies. Dr. Teoman Ertuğrul Tulun received his Ph.D. in Political Science and Public Administration from İhsan Doğramacı Bilkent University in Ankara. His area of research include European Union Studies, Globalization, Xenophobia, Hate Speech Studies and International Relations.

To cite this article: TULUN, Teoman Ertuğrul. 2026. "GERMANY'S EURASIAN OPENING AND ITS STRATEGIC BLIND SPOTS." Center For Eurasian Studies (AVİM), Commentary No.2026 / 50. September 08. Accessed October 31, 2026. https://www.avim.org.tr/en/Yorum/GERMANY-S-EURASIAN-OPENING-AND-ITS-STRATEGIC-BLIND-SPOTS

Süleyman Nazif Sok. No: 12/B Daire 3-4 06550 Çankaya-ANKARA / TÜRKİYE
**Tel**: +90 (312) 438 50 23-24 • **Fax**: +90 (312) 438 50 26
@avimorgtr
https://www.facebook.com/avrasyaincelemelerimerkezi
**E-Mail**: info@avim.org.tr
http://avim.org.tr

*© 2009-2025 Center for Eurasian Studies (AVİM) All Rights Reserved*